

RE: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms

Hayhurst, Barry to: Forrest.Sabrina

09/26/2011 03:31 PM

From: "Hayhurst, Barry" <barry.hayhurst@urs.com>

To:

Cc: "Hall, Susan" <susan.hall@urs.com>

Yes, per Susan Hall, all the wetlands that they identified were delineated under the 40 CFR230.3 definition of a wetland, which relies more on vegetation than soil type. Some wetlands had problematic soil type, but all had the requisite plant types as per the 40 CFR 230.3 rule.

-----Original Message-----

From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov

[mailto:Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, September 26, 2011 3:07 PM

To: Hayhurst, Barry; barryhay01@hotmail.com

Subject: Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work # 1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms

Barry, A couple things related to the delineation. I have tried to remind you several times that the HRS minimum for wetlands to get evaluated in 0.1 mile; however, the HRS looks at total frontage. Please take a look; the preliminary results letter needs revised to look at cumulative frontage.

CSC also has a question (below). Please respond to me with that and I will forward to CSC.

Sincerely,

Sabrina Forrest

Site Assessment Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B

Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484

Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484

Fax: 303-312-6065

Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information. If the reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this

document in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use, or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 09/26/2011 03:03 PM

From: Katharine Lima <kncj@sbcglobal.net>
To: William A Chantry <wchantry@csc.com>, Sabrina
Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 09/26/2011 02:59 PM
Subject: Re: Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for
August 2011 field work
1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms

Sabrina:

Having looked through the attachments in the four emails, with specific attention to the wetlands delineation preliminary results letter, CSC would like to note the following:

- The letter states that under the HRS, only wetlands that span greater than 0.10 mile along the creek qualify for consideration. However, to achieve the scoring scenario that we discussed, each wetland does not actually need to be greater than 0.10 mile - just the cumulative total of qualifying wetlands within the zone of actual contamination.

Also, we have one question:

- The letter also states that wetlands were identified in the field according to 3 specific parameters, but appears to differentiate between field identification and what is referred to in the next statement as formal delineation. Do the Routine Determination protocol and the Delineation Manual that were used for the formal delineation also incorporate or include the 40 CFR 230.3 definition of wetlands?
Thank you.

-Katharine

Katharine Lima
Environmental Scientist
CSC
2119 South Fourth Street
Springfield, Illinois 62703
Science, Engineering and Mission Support
| p: 217.525.8756 | kncj@sbcglobal.net | www.csc.com

This is a PRIVATE message. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete without copying and kindly advise us by e-mail of the mistake in delivery.

NOTE: Regardless of content, this e-mail shall not operate to bind CSC to any order or other contract unless pursuant to explicit written agreement or government initiative expressly permitting the use of e-mail for such purpose. •

--- On Mon, 9/26/11, Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov
<Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:
From: Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov
<Forrest.Sabrina@epamail.epa.gov>
Subject: Fw: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work
1mg/kg milligrams per kilograms
To: kncj@sbcglobal.net, "William A Chantry" <wchantry@csc.com>
Cc: Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov
Date: Monday, September 26, 2011, 11:14 AM

Katharine, I am sending over the Cement Creek wetlands report and appendices in four emails. This is the first set of documents. Could you please respond to Barry question below, as it does make some sense to wait on finalizing the delineation report in case CSC has significant comments/language changes?

Sincerely,
Sabrina Forrest
Site Assessment Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail Code: 8EPR-B
Denver, CO 80202-1129

Direct Ph: 303-312-6484
Toll Free: 1 800-227-8917, 312-6484
Fax: 303-312-6065
Agency Cell: 303-589-1286

E-mail: forrest.sabrina@epa.gov

NOTICE: The information contained in this e-mail is intended only for

the use of the recipient(s) named above. This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information.

If the

reader is not the intended recipient or an agent responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you have received this document

in error and any review, dissemination, disclosure, distribution, use,

or copying of the contents of this message is strictly prohibited. If

you have received this communication in error, please notify me immediately by e-mail or telephone and destroy all copies of the original message and any attachments.

----- Forwarded by Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US on 09/26/2011
10:11 AM

From: "Hayhurst, Barry" <barry.hayhurst@urs.com>

To: Sabrina Forrest/R8/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 09/22/2011 01:11 PM

Subject: Cement Creek-Trip Report for August 2011 field work #
1mg/kg

milligrams per kilograms

This is the first email of the trip report for the August 2011 field work on Cement Creek.

This report includes wetlands, Gold King sampling, overland flow, mineralogy, etc.

This will be used as a reference in the HRS document, "draft" to be replaced by the final version when it is finalized.

I wonder if we should have the CSC folks read the wetlands report

and
make their suggestions for conformity to the HRS process. I can
see
several editorial changes that could be made, but CSC might have
some
specific wording they would like to see.

The analytical data has not been validated and probably will not
be
until Minot and the Montana raid are over in October.

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation
confidential
information that may be proprietary or privileged. If you receive
this
message in error or are not the intended recipient, you should
not retain,
distribute, disclose or use any of this information and you
should destroy
the e-mail and any attachments or copies.

(See attached file: wetlands rpt.text.doc) (See attached file:
Table
1-Source samplesmine waste.xlsx) (See attached file: Figure 1.pdf)

This e-mail and any attachments contain URS Corporation
confidential information that may be proprietary or privileged. If
you receive this message in error or are not the intended
recipient, you should not retain, distribute, disclose or use any
of this information and you should destroy the e-mail and any
attachments or copies.